

NIA Comment

on the publication

'In Vivo Skin Penetration of Quantum Dot Nanoparticles in the Murine Model: The Effect of UVR'

(Mortensen et al., *Nano Letters*; 2008; ASAP Web Release Date: 08-Aug-2008; (Letter) DOI: 10.1021/nl801323y)

The issue

A recently published study in a scientific journal examined the impact of UV-radiation (UVR) on nanoparticle skin penetration by using an *in vivo* semiconductor quantum dot nanoparticle (QD) model system on mice.

The study concluded that qualitatively higher levels of penetration were observable in the UVR exposed mice.

It is important to put the results and conclusions of this study into context and perspective.

The facts

- Sunscreens may contain a number of different organic and/or mineral UV-filters to create the sunscreen's 'sun protection factor' (SPF), which protects skin from effects of UVR:
 - Many studies have shown that the use of sunscreens reduces the occurrence and the development of skin and lips cancers, and herpes labial.^{1, 2, 3}
 - Sunscreens protect DNA from deteriorations induced by ultra-violet radiations, reduce the appearance of modified squamous cells and the development of certain indices of the melanoma.^{4, 5, 6, 7, 8}

- Scientific studies have shown that the presence of mineral UV-filters significantly enhances the SPF-properties of a sunscreen, as well as increasing the durability of organic UV-filters, which may be present in sunscreen formulations.⁹
- The most common types of mineral UV-filters are TiO₂ and ZnO. Both materials are used in sunscreens in wide variety of different formulations, sizes, surface coatings and dopings, in order to make them waterproof and to improve their application characteristics. The authors of the study suggest that *'these coatings may alter their skin penetration characteristics.'*
- The study repeatedly refers to the application of TiO₂ and ZnO pigments in sunscreens, but in fact uses an *in vivo* skin model, which is not representative of the conditions encountered during the use of a sunscreen formulation.
- The study used semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) as model nanoparticles, in order to facilitate the imaging of the particles during the experiments. The authors acknowledge that *'this result does not directly address the issue of metal oxide NP penetration through UVR damaged skin'*, demonstrating that the study is not representative of commercial sunscreens. Indeed, a large number of other studies have demonstrated that mineral sunscreens DO NOT cross the skin barrier after topical application on either healthy or compromised skin.^{10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23}
- The study suggests that qualitatively higher levels of QD penetration were observable in the UVR exposed mice. The conditions created in the investigation are designed to be the most "susceptible" to show an effect, since the specific quantum dots used in this paper (i.e. QDs 565) have been reported in another work on porcine skin *in vitro*.²⁴
- In this study, the QDs are reported to penetrate to some extent through intact skin, as well as irradiated skin. It is important to point out that the stratum corneum of hairless mice is extremely thin and easily disrupted; the authors of the study acknowledge that *'the weaknesses in the stratum corneum [...] may contribute to increased stratum corneum penetration possibility.'*
- Numerous studies, including some conducted by government labs, have shown that sunscreen pigments are non-toxic and do not move around the body even when introduced subcutaneously and intravenously.^{18, 22, 25, 26, 27}

- In conclusion, the following should be taken into consideration:
 - Skin barrier properties are lower in mice compared to humans, due to structural differences including thinner stratum corneum in mice.
 - The size of QDs reported in this paper (20-30 nm) corresponds to the hydrodynamic diameter, not the actual "physical" diameter (5 nm). In sunscreens, however, individual nanoparticles aggregate and agglomerate to form much larger units, which are typically > 100 nm.
 - The paper clarifies that, even after UV-irradiation, skin penetration was minute, with most of the QDs found in the hair follicles and skin folds as expected.

In summary, although the study provides insights into how UVR and nanoparticles might interact on the skin, its results can not be interpreted as applying to sunscreens that utilize TiO₂ and ZnO pigments in their formulations.

The Nanotechnology Industries Association

Formed in 2005 by a group of companies from a variety of industry sectors including healthcare, chemicals, automotive and consumer products, the [Nanotechnology Industries Association](http://www.nanotechia.org) (NIA) creates a clear single voice to represent the diverse industries in the multi-stakeholder debate on nanotechnologies.

The NIA provides a purely industry-led perspective, derived from the views of the collective membership and forms an interface with government, acting as a source for consultation on regulation and standards, communicating the benefits of nanotechnologies and interacting with the media to ensure an ongoing advancement and commercialisation of nanotechnologies.

For further information visit <http://www.nanotechia.org> or contact us on enquiries@nanotechia.org.

Tuesday, 16th September 2008

References

- ¹ Pogoda, J. et al.: *Solar radiation, lip protection, and lip cancer risk in Los Angeles County women (California, United States)*. *Cancer Causes Control* 1996, 7(4): 458-463.
- ² Rooney, J. al.: *Prevention of ultraviolet-light-induced herpes labialis by sunscreen*. *Lancet* 1991, 338(8780): 1419-1422.
- ³ Nohynek, G. & Schaefer H.: *Benefit and risk of organic ultraviolet filters*. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 2001, 33: 285-291.
- ⁴ Young, A. et al.: *Protection by Ultraviolet A and B sunscreens against in situ dipyrimidine photolesions in human epidermis is comparable to protection against sunburn*. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 2000, 115: 37-41.
- ⁵ Gallagher, R. et al.: *Broad-spectrum sunscreen use and the development of new nevi in white children. A randomized controlled trial*. *JAMA* 2000, 283: 2955-60.
- ⁶ Gallagher, R.: *Sunscreens in melanoma and skin cancer prevention*. *CMAJ* 2005, 173(3): 244-245.
- ⁷ Mahroos, M. et al.: *Effect of sunscreen application on UV-induced thymine dimmers*. *Arch. Dermatol.* 2002, 138(11): 1480-1485.
- ⁸ Lee, T. et al.: *Site-specific protective effect of broad-spectrum sunscreen on nevus development among white schoolchildren in a randomized trial*. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* 2005, 52: 786-792.
- ⁹ Xia, Q. et al.: *Nanostructured lipid carriers as novel carrier for sunscreen formulations*. *Int. J. Cosm. Sci.* 29(6): 473-482.
- ¹⁰ Lademann, J. et al.: *Penetration of titanium dioxide microparticles in a sunscreen formulation into the horny layer and the follicular orifice*. *Skin Pharmacol. Appl. Skin Pyysiol.* 1999, 12: 247-256.
- ¹¹ Dussert, A. et al.: *Characterization of the mineral content of a physical sunscreen and its distribution onto human stratum corneum*. *Int. J. Cosm. Sci.* 1997, 19: 119-129.
- ¹² Pflucker, F. et al.: *The outermost stratum corneum layer is an effective barrier against dermal uptake of topically applied micronized titanium dioxide*. *Int. J. Cosm. Sci.* 1999, 21: 399-411.
- ¹³ Gamer, A. et al.: *The in vitro absorption of microfine zinc oxide and titanium dioxide through porcine skin*. *Toxicology in Vitro.* 20, 301-307, 2006.
- ¹⁴ Roberts, M.: *Nanoparticles In Topical Products – A Consumer Health Risk?* FDA Public Meeting on Nanotechnology, 10 October 2006, Washington DC.
- ¹⁵ Nohynek, G. et al.: *Grey goo on the skin? Nanotechnology, cosmetic and Sunscreen Safety*. *Crit. Rev. Tox.* 2007, 37:1-27.
- ¹⁶ Mavon, A. et al.: *In vitro Percutaneous Absorption and in vivo Stratum Corneum Distribution of an Organic and a Mineral Sunscreen*. *Skin Pharmacol. Physiol.* 2007, 20:10-20.
- ¹⁷ Stern, S. et al: *Nanotechnology safety concerns revisited*. *Tox. Sci.* 2008, 101:4-21.
- ¹⁸ Sadrieh, N. et al.: *Analysis of Dermal Penetration of Titanium Dioxide (TiO₂) from Sunscreen Formulations Containing Micron- and Nano-scale Particles of TiO₂*. Presented at the US Society of Toxicology meeting, Abstract n° 1023, Charlotte, 2008.
- ¹⁹ Butz, T. et al.: *No evidence for nanoparticle penetration into living skin*. Preliminary data presented at ECETOC, Barcelona, 2005.
- ²⁰ Pinheiro, T. et al.: *The influence of corneocyte structure on the interpretation of permeation profiles of nanoparticles across skin*. *Nucl. Instru. and Meth. in Phy. Res. B* 2007, 260:119–123.
- ²¹ Borbala, K. et al.: *Investigation of micronized titanium dioxide penetration in human skin xenografts and its effect on cellular functions of human skin-derived cells*. *Exp. Derm.* 2008, DOI:10.1111/j.1600-0625.2007.00683.x.
- ²² Filipe, P. et al: *Nanotoxicity of TiO₂ and ZnO containing sunscreens versus the stratum corneum barrier dogma*. *Expt. Tox.* 2008 *in press*.
- ²³ Sugibayashi, K. et al.: *Safety evaluation of titanium dioxide nanoparticles by their absorption and elimination profiles*. *J. Tox. Sci.* 2008, *in press*.
- ²⁴ Ryman-Rasmussen, J. et al.: *Penetration of Intact Skin by Quantum Dots with Diverse Physicochemical Properties*. *J. Tox. Sci.* 2006 91(1):159-165.

²⁵ Umbreit, T. et al.: *Toxicity and Tissue Distribution of Titanium Dioxide (TiO₂) Nanoparticles in Subcutaneously and Intravenously Injected Mice Over 6 Months*. The Toxicologist, Abstract 946. Society of Toxicology, March 2008.

²⁶ Fabian, E. et al: *Tissue distribution and toxicity of intravenously administered titanium dioxide nanoparticles in rats*. Arch. Toxicol. DOI 10.1007/s00204-007-0253-y.

²⁷ Nohynek, G. et al.: *Nanotechnology, Cosmetics and the Skin: Is There a Health Risk?* Skin Pharmacol. Physiol. 2008 21:136–149.